IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

TO OFFICE:	District 5	DATE:	May 25, 2016
ATTENTION:	James V. Armstrong	PROJECT:	Wapello County BRF-063-2(161)38-90
FROM:	Kevin K. Patel		PIN: 15-90-063-010
OFFICE:	Design		

SUBJECT: Project Concept Statement; (Final, D0)

This project involves the rehabilitation of the U.S. 63 bridge (Maint No. 9030.6S063) over Village Creek, 3.1 miles south of U.S. 34.

A concept review was held on May 21, 2015. Those present included Jim Armstrong, Mark Van Dyke, Dale Harmon and Jason Huddle from the District 5 Office; Dave Mulholland from the Office of Bridges and Structures; Scott Groat and Jeff Larson from the Right of Way Office, and Kevin Patel, Paul Flattery and Amy Schleier from the Office of Design.

The three alternatives considered were:

- 1. Replace the existing bridge on relocated alignment with a 176 ft. 4 in. x 44 ft. pretensioned, prestressed concrete beam bridge. This alternative would require approximately 3,000 ft. of roadway reconstruction. Traffic will be maintained on the existing bridge while the new bridge is constructed. The estimated cost of this alternative is \$7,706,200.
- 2. Widen the existing bridge from 30 ft. to 44 ft. wide and replace the existing bridge deck. It is estimated that this alternative would provide approximately 35 years of additional service life. Traffic will be maintained via staged construction using temporary signals. The estimated cost of this alternative is \$1,343,600.
- 3. Overlay the existing bridge deck and replace the bridge joints. This alternative would provide approximately 15-20 additional years of service life. Traffic will be maintained via staged construction using temporary signals. The estimated cost of this alternative is \$526,100.

Alternative 2 is the preferred alternative as it provides benefit from the new wider bridge deck and provides a reduction in future bridge deck maintenance at a lower cost than alternative 1 (see attached concept for details). Right of way will not be required. Traffic will be maintained via staged construction using temporary signals.

The Draft Project Concept Statement was sent out for review and comment with concerns to be resolved by Monday, May 23, 2016. Comments received during the review period have been considered and resolved.

This project is recommended for construction in FY 2021. The Office of Bridges and Structures will coordinate plan preparation with assistance from the Office of Design.

KKP: als Attach. cc: C. Purcell D. L. Maifield N. M. Miller G. A. Novey A. Abu-Hawash R. A. Younie K. Brink M. E. Khoda J.W. Laaser-Webb E. C. Wright J. Huddle A.J. Klein T. Quam

M. J. Kennerly S. J. Megivern C. C. Poole D. R. Claman M. A. Swenson D. R. Tebben D. L. Newell S. J. Gent W.A. Sorenson M. Van Dyke J. D. Owen J. R. Phillips FHWA

K. D. Nicholson A. A. Welch N. L. McDonald P. Lu M. J. Sankey B. D. Hofer B. E. Azeltine T. D. Crouch D. E. Sprengeler J. R. Webb C. E. Belgarde B. M. Clancy M. E. Ross

FINAL PROJECT CONCEPT STATEMENT

U.S. 63 Bridge over Village Creek, 3.1 miles south of U.S. 34

Wapello County BRF-063-2(161)--38-90 PIN: 15-90-063-010 Maint. No. 9030.6S063 FHWA No. 50610

> Highway Division Office of Design

Kevin K. Patel, P.E. 515-239-1540

May 25, 2016

I. STUDY AREA

A. Project Description

This project involves the rehabilitation of the U.S. 63 bridge (Maint. No. 9030.6S063) over Village Creek, 3.1 miles south of U.S. 34.

The three alternatives considered were:

- 1. Replace the existing bridge on relocated alignment with a 176 ft. 4 in. x 44 ft. pretensioned, prestressed concrete beam bridge. This alternative would require approximately 3,000 ft. of new pavement. Traffic will be maintained on the existing bridge while the new bridge is constructed. The estimated cost of this alternative is \$7,706,200.
- 2. Widen the existing bridge from 30 ft. to 44 ft. wide and replace the existing bridge deck. It is estimated that this alternative would provide approximately 35 years of additional service life. Traffic will be maintained via staged construction using temporary signals. The estimated cost of this alternative is \$1,343,600.
- 3. Overlay the existing bridge deck and replace the bridge joints. This alternative would provide approximately 15-20 additional years of service life. Traffic will be maintained via staged construction using temporary signals. The estimated cost of this alternative is \$526,100.

Alternative 2 is the preferred alternative as it provides benefit from the new wider bridge deck and provides a reduction in future bridge deck maintenance at a lower cost than alternative 1 (see attached concept for details). Right of way will not be required. Traffic will be maintained via staged construction using temporary signals.

B. <u>Need for Project</u>

This is a 154 ft. x 30 ft. steel girder bridge which was constructed in 1965 and overlaid in 1981. The bridge is classified as functionally obsolete (FO) due to the deck geometry. Cracks are found at the top and bottom of the bridge deck. The top of the deck also has many delaminations. The south paving notch is broken and sheared. Deterioration is also found in the superstructure and substructure.



C. Present Facility

The existing structure is a 154 ft. x 30 ft. continuous I-beam bridge constructed in 1965.

U.S. 63 in the project area is 24 ft. wide PCC pavement with 10 ft. wide partially paved shoulders and 3:1 foreslopes, constructed in 1965. HMA resurfacing was accomplished in 2007. This section of U.S. 63 was being developed as a 4-lane divided highway by CH2M Hill Consultants; however, this project has been placed on hold and it currently appears it is unlikely that this 4-lane project will move forward in the near term future.

D. <u>Traffic Estimates</u>

The 2019 and 2039 average daily traffic estimates are 6,100 ADT with 10% trucks and 6,800 ADT with 11% trucks, respectively.

E. Sufficiency Ratings

U.S. 63 is classified as a "Commercial and Industrial" route and is maintenance service level "B" road. The federal bridge sufficiency rating is 53.6.

F. Access Control

Access rights will not be acquired for this project.

G. Crash History

During the five-year study period from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2014, there was one crash involving an animal in the roadway causing property damage only.

II. PROJECT CONCEPT

A. <u>Feasible Alternatives</u>

Alternative #1 - Replace with a 176 ft. 4 in. x 44 ft. bridge on a proposed parallel alignment.

Replace the existing 154 ft. x 30 ft. I beam bridge with a 176 ft. 4 in. x 44 ft. pretensioned, prestressed concrete beam bridge on a proposed parallel alignment.

The typical cross section adjacent to the bridge will consist of a 24 ft. roadway (28 ft. wide pavement) with 10 ft. effective shoulders (2 ft. outside pavement, 4 ft. additional pavement and 4 ft. granular) and 6:1/3.5:1 foreslopes.

The proposed bridge will be built approximately 130 ft. west of the existing bridge which will require approximately 3,000 ft. of roadway reconstruction. When the future 4 lane facility is constructed, the new bridge will become the southbound bridge. Traffic will be maintained on the existing U.S. 63 bridge while the new bridge is constructed.

New bridge approaches will be constructed. New guardrail will be installed and the shoulders will be paved 20 ft. beyond the ends of the guardrail. Class 10 will be necessary to flatten the existing foreslopes and to construct the new guardrail blisters. Place class E revetment for slope protection under the bridge. Construct bridge end drains on each end of the bridge.

Apply erosion control and rural seeding and fertilizing to all disturbed areas.

Right of way would be required for this alternative.

Two lanes of traffic will be maintained on the existing U.S. 63 alignment, with short term one-lane closures to tie-in the new alignment to the existing alignment. Temporary signals will be required during the construction of the tie-in pavement.

Bridge Items	Estimated Costs
New Bridge	\$ 846,400
Bridge Removal	46,100
Erosion stone	900

Revetment	120,000
Mobilization - 10%	101,300
M & C - 15%	167,200
Bridge Costs	\$ 1,281,900
Roadway Items	
Embankment in place, contractor furnished	\$ 2,801,200
Bridge Approaches	85,200
PCC Pavement	492,800
Special Backfill	108,400
Granular Subbase	97,300
Paved Shoulder	69,300
Granular Shoulder	28,600
Excavation Class 13 Waste	232,700
Temporary Pavement	160,900
Longitudinal Subdrains, including outlets	37,700
Removal of Pavement	56,700
Guardrail (Includes Removal)	25,400
Paved Shoulders for Guardrail	29,600
Class 10 for Guardrail Blisters	12,000
Bridge End Drains	11,100
Clearing and Grubbing	5,300
Seeding and Fertilizing	5,600
Erosion Control	100,000
Wetland Mitigation	100,000
Traffic Control - 5%	223,000
Mobilization - 5%	223,000
Right of Way	36,000
M & C - 30%	1,482,500
Roadway costs	\$ 6,424,300
Project Total	\$7,706,200

Alternative #2 - Replace the existing bridge deck and widen the bridge from 30 ft. to <u>44 ft.</u>

The existing bridge deck will be replaced and widened from 30 ft. to 44 ft. wide. This work is expected to provide a 35 year service life.

The typical cross section adjacent to the bridge will consist of a 24 ft. roadway with 10 ft. effective shoulders. Grading will be required adjacent to the bridge abutment for the increase in paved shoulder width and for the new guardrail blisters. The new foreslopes in this area should be designed such that no additional right of way is

required. The new foreslopes will be steeper than 6:1/3:1 which is typically used; however, as these foreslopes are behind the new bridge guardrail, this should be acceptable.

New bridge approaches will be constructed. Place new guardrail and pave the shoulders 20 ft. beyond the ends of the guardrail.

Apply erosion control and rural seeding and fertilizing to all disturbed areas.

Temporary signals will be utilized to maintain one lane of traffic at a time on the bridge during construction. During the first stage, an 18 ft. travel lane will be provided but during the second stage, an 11 ft. 3 in. lane will be provided. This will require special signing advance of the work zone to detour wide loads.

It may be advantageous to coordinate this project with the Little Soap Creek project (NHSX-36-2(137)--3H-90) so that wide loads are not detoured for two consecutive years. Currently the Little Soap project is schedule for construction in FY 2022.

Right of way will not be required for this alternative.

Estimated Costs	
Bridge widening	\$ 331,800
Bridge re-decking	260,700
Pavement removal	3,300
Bridge approaches	85,200
Guardrail (Includes Removal)	25,400
Paved Shoulders for Guardrail	29,600
Embankment for wider foreslopes and guardrail blisters	25,000
Paved shoulder for staging	21,700
Temporary barrier rail	6,600
Temporary crash cushions	2,300
Temporary signals	10,200
Temporary floodlighting	8,100
Erosion control	50,000
Clearing and grubbing	1,300
Traffic control - 5%	43,100
Mobilization - 5%	43,100
Staging - 10%	86,100
M & C - 30%	310,100
Total Estimated Costs	\$ 1,343,600

Alternative #3 - Overlay the existing bridge deck and replace bridge joints

Replace bridge joints and overlay the existing bridge deck. This work is expected to provide 15-20 years of service.

New bridge approaches will be constructed. Place new guardrail and pave the shoulders 20 ft. beyond the ends of the guardrail. Class 10 will be necessary to construct the new guardrail blisters.

Temporary signals will be utilized to maintain one lane of traffic at a time on the bridge during construction. Special signing will be required in advance of the work zone as the minimum width of 14 ft. 6 in. will not be provided.

It may be advantageous to coordinate this project with the Little Soap Creek project (NHSX-36-2(137)--3H-90) so that wide loads are not detoured for two consecutive years. Currently the Little Soap project is schedule for construction in FY 2022.

Right of way will not be required for this alternative.

Estimated Cost	
Bridge floor overlay/repair	\$ 94,800
Joint replacement	52,500
Guardrail (Includes Removal)	25,400
Paved Shoulders for Guardrail	21,000
Class 10 for Guardrail Blisters	11,800
Pavement removal	2,900
Bridge approaches	74,600
Paved shoulder for staging	21,700
Temporary concrete barrier rail	6,600
Temporary floodlighting	8,100
Temporary traffic signal	10,200
Temporary crash cushion	2,300
Erosion control	5,000
Traffic control - 5%	16,900
Mobilization - 5%	16,900
Staging – 10%	33,700
M & C - 30%	121,400
Total Estimated Costs	\$ 526,100

B. <u>Detour Analysis</u>

There will be no off-site detour. For Alternatives 2 and 3, traffic will be maintained via staged construction with traffic reduced down to one lane via the use of temporary

traffic signals. For Alternative 1, traffic will continue on the existing roadway and bridge.

During the second stage of bridge widening, an 11 ft. 3 in. lane will be provided. This will require special signing advance of the work zone to detour wide loads. It may be advantageous to coordinate this project with the Little Soap Creek project (NHSX-63-2(137)--3H-90) such that wide loads are not detoured for two consecutive years. Currently the Little Soap Creek project is scheduled for construction in FY 2022.

C. <u>Recommendations</u>

It is recommended that the present structure be repaired and widened, as described in Alternative No. 2.

D. Construction Sequence

It is anticipated that all work on this project will be awarded to one prime contractor. The Office of Bridges and Structures will coordinate the plan preparation with assistance from the Office of Design.

E. ADA Accommodations

There are no bike paths or sidewalks adjacent to U.S. 63; therefore, no ADA accommodations are planned in conjunction with this project.

F. Special Considerations

Right of way would be required for Alternative 1. The right of way acquired would be based on the current 2 lane roadway alignment and not the future 4 lane plan. Right of way will not be required for Alternatives 2 or 3.

The endangered Indiana bat has been recorded within 300 ft. of the bridge; therefore, it is recommended to minimize tree clearing as much as possible to avoid a potential mist net survey. There will be no tree clearing between October 1 and March 31.

F. Program Status

Site data has been developed by the Office of Design. This project is not listed in the Draft 2017-2021 Iowa Transportation Improvement Program. Costs for this project may be eligible for bridge replacement funds. A schedule of events will be developed following approval of the Project Concept.

KKP: als



WAPELLO COUNTY US 63 Bridge over Village Creek 3.1 mi. south of US34 Keokuk Township Section 18





10:45:23 AM 7/21/2020 pw:\\ntPwInt1.dot.int.lan:PWMain\Documents\Projects\9006301015\Design\CADD_Files\Sheet_Files\90063161_A01.dgr pcarls2

REVISIONS TOTAL 21 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 15-90-063-010 PROJECT NUMBER BRF-063-2(161)--38-90 R.O.W. PROJECT NUMBER **INDEX OF SHEETS** DESCRIPTION No. Sheets Title Sheets A.1 Title Sheet A.2 - 7 Project Concept Typical Cross Sections and Details Sheets Typical Cross Sections and Details B.1 - 3 D Sheets Mainline Plan and Profile Sheets * D.1 Plan & Profile Legend & Symbol Information Sheet * D.2 "US 63" Survey Sheets G Sheets Survey Information G.1 Control Point Vincinity map G.2 G.3 Horiz. and Vert. Project Control Coordinate Listing G.4 Horizontal Alignment Coordinates Sheets Traffic Control and Staging Sheets Traffic Control Plan J.1 Staging Notes Stage J.1 Coordinated Operations J.1 W Sheets Mainline Cross Sections W.1 - 4 Mainline Cross Sections * Color Plan Sheets D4 PLAN - Date: 07/19/2022 PRELIMINARY PLANS Subject to change by final design. D2/D3 PLAN - Date: 07/21/2020

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

TO OFFICE:	District 5	DATE:	May 25, 2016	KKP: als Attach.
ATTENTION:	James V. Armstrong	PROJECT:	Wapello County BRF-063-2(161)38-90	cc: C. Purcell
FROM:	Kevin K. Patel		PIN: 15-90-063-010	D. L. Maifield N. M. Miller
OFFICE:	Design			G. A. Novey A. Abu-Hawash
SUBJECT:	Project Concept Statement; (Final, D0 This project involves the rehabilitation	n of the U.S. 63 b	ridge (Maint No. 9030.6S063)	R. A. Younie K. Brink M. E. Khoda J.W. Laaser-Webb E. C. Wright
	 This project involves the rehabilitation over Village Creek, 3.1 miles south of A concept review was held on May 21 Mark Van Dyke, Dale Harmon and Ja Mulholland from the Office of Bridge from the Right of Way Office, and Ke the Office of Design. The three alternatives considered were Replace the existing bridge on refit. pretensioned, prestressed concerequire approximately 3,000 ft. or maintained on the existing bridge estimated cost of this alternative? Widen the existing bridge from 3 bridge deck. It is estimated that the years of additional service life. The construction using temporary sign \$1,343,600. Overlay the existing bridge deck would provide approximately 15-be maintained via staged construction is \$526,10 Alternative 2 is the preferred alternative required. Traffic will be maintained via trached existing bridge deck and provides a reduction is cost than alternative 1 (see attached existing bridge deck and provides a reduction is constructed by Monday, Mathematical Statement via the preferred alternative via the preferred attached existing bridge deck and provides a reduction is cost than alternative 1 (see attached existing bridge deck and provides a reduction is constructed. Traffic will be maintained via the preferred alternative via the preferred attached existing bridge deck and provides a reduction is constructed. Traffic will be maintained via the preferred attached exist than alternative 1 (see attached	a of the U.S. 63 bi CU.S. 34. 1, 2015. Those pr son Huddle from s and Structures; vin Patel, Paul Fl e: located alignment rete beam bridge. f roadway reconst while the new br is \$7,706,200. 0 ft. to 44 ft. wide his alternative we braffic will be main hals. The estimate and replace the br 20 additional yea ction using tempo 0. we as it provides the in future bridge do bridge do brid	esent included Jim Armstrong, the District 5 Office; Dave Scott Groat and Jeff Larson attery and Amy Schleier from with a 176 ft. 4 in. x 44 This alternative would ruction. Traffic will be idge is constructed. The and replace the existing huld provide approximately 35 ntained via staged ed cost of this alternative is tidge joints. This alternative rs of service life. Traffic will rary signals. The estimated benefit from the new wider eck maintenance at a lower . Right of way will not be tion using temporary signals. wiew and comment with mments received during the	J. Huddle A.J. Klein T. Quam

ENGLISH DESIGN TEAM

FILE NO.

9:09:55 AM 7/16/2020 pcarls2 pw:\\ntPwInt1.dot.int.lan:PWMain\Documents\Projects\9006301015\Design\CADD_Files\Sheet_Files\90063161_A01.dgn

This project is recommended for construction in FY 2021. The Office of Bridges and Structures will coordinate plan preparation with assistance from the Office of Design.

M. J. Kennerly S. J. Megivern C. C. Poole D. R. Claman M. A. Swenson D. R. Tebben D. L. Newell S. J. Gent W.A. Sorenson M. Van Dyke J. D. Owen J. R. Phillips

FHWA

K. D. Nicholson A. A. Welch N. L. McDonald P. Lu M. J. Sankey B. D. Hofer B. E. Azeltine T. D. Crouch D. E. Sprengeler J. R. Webb C. E. Belgarde B. M. Clancy M. E. Ross

SHEET NUMBER A.2

FINAL PROJECT CONCEPT STATEMENT

U.S. 63 Bridge over Village Creek, 3.1 miles south of U.S. 34

Wapello County BRF-063-2(161)--38-90 PIN: 15-90-063-010 Maint. No. 9030.6S063 FHWA No. 50610

> **Highway Division** Office of Design

Kevin K. Patel, P.E. 515-239-1540

May 25, 2016

I. STUDY AREA

A. Project Description

This project involves the rehabilitation of the U.S. 63 bridge (Maint. No. 9030.6S063) over Village Creek, 3.1 miles south of U.S. 34.

The three alternatives considered were:

- 1. Replace the existing bridge on relocated alignment with a 176 ft. 4 in. x 44 ft. pretensioned, prestressed concrete beam bridge. This alternative would require approximately 3,000 ft. of new pavement. Traffic will be maintained on the existing bridge while the new bridge is constructed. The estimated cost of this alternative is \$7,706,200.
- Widen the existing bridge from 30 ft, to 44 ft, wide and replace the existing 2. bridge deck. It is estimated that this alternative would provide approximately 35 years of additional service life. Traffic will be maintained via staged construction using temporary signals. The estimated cost of this alternative is \$1,343,600.
- Overlay the existing bridge deck and replace the bridge joints. This alternative 3. would provide approximately 15-20 additional years of service life. Traffic will be maintained via staged construction using temporary signals. The estimated cost of this alternative is \$526,100.

Alternative 2 is the preferred alternative as it provides benefit from the new wider bridge deck and provides a reduction in future bridge deck maintenance at a lower cost than alternative 1 (see attached concept for details). Right of way will not be required. Traffic will be maintained via staged construction using temporary signals.

Wapello County BRF-063-2(161)--38-90 PIN: 15-90-063-010 Page 2

B. Need for Project

This is a 154 ft. x 30 ft. steel girder bridge which was constructed in 1965 and overlaid in 1981. The bridge is classified as functionally obsolete (FO) due to the deck geometry. Cracks are found at the top and bottom of the bridge deck. The top of the deck also has many delaminations. The south paving notch is broken and sheared. Deterioration is also found in the superstructure and substructure.



C. Present Facility

The existing structure is a 154 ft. x 30 ft. continuous I-beam bridge constructed in 1965.

U.S. 63 in the project area is 24 ft. wide PCC pavement with 10 ft. wide partially paved shoulders and 3:1 foreslopes, constructed in 1965. HMA resurfacing was accomplished in 2007. This section of U.S. 63 was being developed as a 4-lane divided highway by CH2M Hill Consultants; however, this project has been placed on hold and it currently appears it is unlikely that this 4-lane project will move forward in the near term future.

Traffic Estimates D.

> The 2019 and 2039 average daily traffic estimates are 6,100 ADT with 10% trucks and 6,800 ADT with 11% trucks, respectively.

E. Sufficiency Ratings

U.S. 63 is classified as a "Commercial and Industrial" route and is maintenance service level "B" road. The federal bridge sufficiency rating is 53.6.

F. Access Control

Access rights will not be acquired for this project.

PROJECT NUMBER FILE NO. ENGLISH DESIGN TEAM COUNTY 9:10:09 AM 7/16/2020 pw:\\ntPwInt1.dot.int.lan:PWMain\Documents\Projects\9006301015\Design\CADD_Files\Sheet_Files\90063161_A01.dgr pcarls2

		SHEET NUMBER	A.3	
--	--	--------------	-----	--

G. <u>Crash History</u>

During the five-year study period from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2014, there was one crash involving an animal in the roadway causing property damage only.

II. PROJECT CONCEPT

A. Feasible Alternatives

<u>Alternative #1 - Replace with a 176 ft. 4 in. x 44 ft. bridge on a proposed parallel alignment.</u>

Replace the existing 154 ft. x 30 ft. I beam bridge with a 176 ft. 4 in. x 44 ft. pretensioned, prestressed concrete beam bridge on a proposed parallel alignment.

The typical cross section adjacent to the bridge will consist of a 24 ft. roadway (28 ft. wide pavement) with 10 ft. effective shoulders (2 ft. outside pavement, 4 ft. additional pavement and 4 ft. granular) and 6:1/3.5:1 foreslopes.

The proposed bridge will be built approximately 130 ft. west of the existing bridge which will require approximately 3,000 ft. of roadway reconstruction. When the future 4 lane facility is constructed, the new bridge will become the southbound bridge. Traffic will be maintained on the existing U.S. 63 bridge while the new bridge is constructed.

New bridge approaches will be constructed. New guardrail will be installed and the shoulders will be paved 20 ft. beyond the ends of the guardrail. Class 10 will be necessary to flatten the existing foreslopes and to construct the new guardrail blisters. Place class E revetment for slope protection under the bridge. Construct bridge end drains on each end of the bridge.

Apply erosion control and rural seeding and fertilizing to all disturbed areas.

Right of way would be required for this alternative.

Two lanes of traffic will be maintained on the existing U.S. 63 alignment, with short term one-lane closures to tie-in the new alignment to the existing alignment. Temporary signals will be required during the construction of the tie-in pavement.

<u>Bridge Items</u>	Estimated Costs
New Bridge	\$ 846,400
Bridge Removal	46,100
Erosion stone	900

Wapello County BRF-063-2(161)--38-90 PIN: 15-90-063-010 Page 4

> Revetment Mobilization - 10% M & C - 15% Bridge Costs

Roadway Items

Embankment in place, contractor furnisl Bridge Approaches **PCC** Pavement Special Backfill Granular Subbase Paved Shoulder Granular Shoulder Excavation Class 13 Waste **Temporary Pavement** Longitudinal Subdrains, including outlet Removal of Pavement Guardrail (Includes Removal) Paved Shoulders for Guardrail Class 10 for Guardrail Blisters Bridge End Drains Clearing and Grubbing Seeding and Fertilizing **Erosion Control** Wetland Mitigation Traffic Control - 5% Mobilization - 5% Right of Way M & C - 30% **Roadway costs**

Project Total

<u>Alternative #2 – Replace the existing brie</u> <u>44 ft.</u>

The existing bridge deck will be replaced and widened from 30 ft. to 44 ft. wide. This work is expected to provide a 35 year service life.

The typical cross section adjacent to the bridge will consist of a 24 ft. roadway with 10 ft. effective shoulders. Grading will be required adjacent to the bridge abutment for the increase in paved shoulder width and for the new guardrail blisters. The new foreslopes in this area should be designed such that no additional right of way is

FILE NO.

	120,000
	101,300
	167,200
	\$ 1,281,900
ned	\$ 2,801,200
	85,200
	492,800
	108,400
	97,300
	69,300
	28,600
	232,700
	160,900
ts	37,700
	56,700
	25,400
	29,600
	12,000
	11,100
	5,300
	5,600
	100,000
	100,000
	223,000
	223,000
	36,000
	1,482,500
	\$ 6.424.300

\$7,706,200

Alternative #2 - Replace the existing bridge deck and widen the bridge from 30 ft. to

SHEET NUMBER	A.4	

> required. The new foreslopes will be steeper than 6:1/3:1 which is typically used; however, as these foreslopes are behind the new bridge guardrail, this should be acceptable.

New bridge approaches will be constructed. Place new guardrail and pave the shoulders 20 ft. beyond the ends of the guardrail.

Apply erosion control and rural seeding and fertilizing to all disturbed areas.

Temporary signals will be utilized to maintain one lane of traffic at a time on the bridge during construction. During the first stage, an 18 ft. travel lane will be provided but during the second stage, an 11 ft. 3 in. lane will be provided. This will require special signing advance of the work zone to detour wide loads.

It may be advantageous to coordinate this project with the Little Soap Creek project (NHSX-36-2(137)--3H-90) so that wide loads are not detoured for two consecutive years. Currently the Little Soap project is schedule for construction in FY 2022.

Right of way will not be required for this alternative.

Estimated Costs		
Bridge widening	\$ 331,800	
Bridge re-decking	260,700	
Pavement removal	3,300	
Bridge approaches	85,200	
Guardrail (Includes Removal)	25,400	
Paved Shoulders for Guardrail	29,600	
Embankment for wider foreslopes and guardrail blisters	25,000	
Paved shoulder for staging	21,700	
Temporary barrier rail	6,600	
Temporary crash cushions	2,300	
Temporary signals	10,200	
Temporary floodlighting	8,100	
Erosion control	50,000	
Clearing and grubbing	1,300	
Traffic control - 5%	43,100	
Mobilization - 5%	43,100	
Staging - 10%	86,100	
M & C - 30%	310,100	
Total Estimated Costs	\$ 1,343,600	

Wapello County BRF-063-2(161)--38-90 PIN: 15-90-063-010 Page 6

Alternative #3 – Overlay the existing bridge deck and replace bridge joints

Replace bridge joints and overlay the existing bridge deck. This work is expected to provide 15-20 years of service.

New bridge approaches will be constructed. Place new guardrail and pave the shoulders 20 ft, beyond the ends of the guardrail. Class 10 will be necessary to construct the new guardrail blisters.

Temporary signals will be utilized to maintain one lane of traffic at a time on the bridge during construction. Special signing will be required in advance of the work zone as the minimum width of 14 ft. 6 in. will not be provided.

It may be advantageous to coordinate this project with the Little Soap Creek project (NHSX-36-2(137)--3H-90) so that wide loads are not detoured for two consecutive years. Currently the Little Soap project is schedule for construction in FY 2022.

Right of way will not be required for this alternative.

Estimated Cost Bridge floor overlay/repair Joint replacement Guardrail (Includes Removal) Paved Shoulders for Guardrail Class 10 for Guardrail Blisters Pavement removal Bridge approaches Paved shoulder for staging Temporary concrete barrier rail Temporary floodlighting Temporary traffic signal Temporary crash cushion Erosion control Traffic control - 5% Mobilization - 5% Staging - 10% M & C - 30% **Total Estimated Costs**

B. Detour Analysis

There will be no off-site detour. For Alternatives 2 and 3, traffic will be maintained via staged construction with traffic reduced down to one lane via the use of temporary

9:10:36 AM 7/16/2020 pw:\\ntPwInt1.dot.int.lan:PWMain\Documents\Projects\9006301015\Design\CADD_Files\Sheet_Files\90063161_A01.dgr pcarls2

\$ 94,800 52,500 25,400 21,000 11,800 2,900 74,600 21,700 6,600 8,100 10,200 2,300 5.000 16,900 16,900 33,700 121,400 \$ 526,100

SHEET NUMBER	A.5	

> traffic signals. For Alternative 1, traffic will continue on the existing roadway and bridge.

During the second stage of bridge widening, an 11 ft. 3 in. lane will be provided. This will require special signing advance of the work zone to detour wide loads. It may be advantageous to coordinate this project with the Little Soap Creek project (NHSX-63-2(137)--3H-90) such that wide loads are not detoured for two consecutive years. Currently the Little Soap Creek project is scheduled for construction in FY 2022.

C. <u>Recommendations</u>

It is recommended that the present structure be repaired and widened, as described in Alternative No. 2.

D. Construction Sequence

It is anticipated that all work on this project will be awarded to one prime contractor. The Office of Bridges and Structures will coordinate the plan preparation with assistance from the Office of Design.

ADA Accommodations E.

> There are no bike paths or sidewalks adjacent to U.S. 63; therefore, no ADA accommodations are planned in conjunction with this project.

Special Considerations F.

> Right of way would be required for Alternative 1. The right of way acquired would be based on the current 2 lane roadway alignment and not the future 4 lane plan. Right of way will not be required for Alternatives 2 or 3.

The endangered Indiana bat has been recorded within 300 ft. of the bridge; therefore, it is recommended to minimize tree clearing as much as possible to avoid a potential mist net survey. There will be no tree clearing between October 1 and March 31.

Program Status F.

> Site data has been developed by the Office of Design. This project is not listed in the Draft 2017-2021 Iowa Transportation Improvement Program. Costs for this project may be eligible for bridge replacement funds. A schedule of events will be developed following approval of the Project Concept.

KKP: als





						_	-						Т				Т	1
				-	:		-	+	1	:	:	:	+		-	_		
		-	• •		· · ·			1:	•	8			·					
			•			 				1			•					
							 		į				·		99	92		
					 		 						·				IL INNER	
							 			1			-				ľ	-
	and the second s								•				·				06-8	
						÷0							·		2		1 13	
						- Mul	0		•				·		09	2	-2116	
Image: Second						.0											F-063	
1 1						1+inr				<u>.</u>							BRI	
				÷.		4	 			<u>:</u>			·				5	
				ginme		÷.c							i				DALCT INVE	
			OFI LE	0	t c	U U							1		G	iL	11	1
			LA ~	Fur	amna		 							,		-	CONT	
			6 S	Ö.	o	i -			•				·			-	PELLO	
		-	-	biose	÷	exie			·			/				-	WA	
			9.1	Ld.	ex is	50	ļ						•					
			PC-DG-	e	, C	.!							•		09	12		
			AI HE	D-D	Gree	Blue			1111110							-	TISCAL YEAR	
									11/11/1							-	Ļ	
									111111								NUMBER OF	
····································							1		1	····			-			-	4	-
						.7		1					•		96	1	TATE OF 10th	
					,	1.			•				+			-	ľ	-
			• •		1		1									-		
					7								+			-	8	
			• •	1.									+			_	0 - MODU	
			1	1								· · · ·			0Þ	2	01 - 50	
			4	[-		<u>.</u>		+			-	NOND DESI-	
		4							-				+			_		
					710		200	- C		680	670					_		
				L		-			Ţ	0.125	T 1997			. 7	T		-	

LOCATION	DIMENSIONS	G_2_Grade
ROAD IDENTIFICATION STATION TO STATIC	_{DN} 🛈 🖲 🛞 _{FS}	
752+40.33 752+7	Feet Feet Inches 77.33 0 11.7 6.0 3	Natural Ground
US 63 752+77.33 753+1	12.42 11.7 11.7 6.0 3	(X) PROFILE GRADE
US 63 756+20.38 756+8	36.71 11.7 11.7 6.0 3	FILL Existing Pavement
/30+00.71 /30+9.		$\int_{\text{Ground}}^{\text{Natural}} \frac{4\%}{\text{Ground}} + \frac{4\%}{100} + \frac{4\%}{100} + \frac{4\%}{100} + \frac{100}{100} +$
		Normal section shown may be
		modified appropriately in areas of superelevated curves or other locations specifically designated SHOULDER-ONLY GRADING
		by the Engineer.
		and cross sections for additional details of ditches and backshopes
FILE NO. 0323 ENGLISH DESIG	IGN TEAM Flattery \ Be	WAPELLO COUNTY PROJECT NUMBER BRF-063-2(161)38-90 SHEET NUMBER B.1





PCC Shoulder at Guardrail

Full-Depth Shoulder Jointing: Longitudinal joint: L-2 or KT-2 Transverse joints: C at 17' spacing 6" Shoulder Jointing: Longitudinal joint: BT-1 or BT-5 Transverse joints: C at mainline spacing

Direction of Travel	STATION T	P Feet	

Direction of Travel	STATION	TO STATION	P Feet	Pg) Feet
NB	752+45.68	752+77.33	0	14.3
NB	752+77.33	753+12.42	0	14.3-12.9
NB	752+12.42	753+16.16	10	2.9-2.7
NB	753+16.16	753+80.81	10	2.7
	Brid	dge		
NB	755+69.69	756+20.38	10	1.3
NB	756+20.38	756+22.20	0	11.3
NB	756+22.20	756+62.30	0	11.3-12.9

38-9	0
------	---

SHEET NUMBER 8.2



7:58:35 AM 7/20/2020 pcarls2 pw:\\ntPwInt1.dot.int.lan:PWMain\Documents\Projects\9006301015\Design\CADD_Files\Sheet_Files\90063161_B01.dgn

7150
DESIGNER INFO
ed Shoulder at guardrail. 8" PCC may be substituted with the nting layout:
nainline pavement joint spacing. When mainline pavement is 8" or in thickness, place additional transverse 'C' joints in shoulder at el of the mainline pavement. Place longitudinal 'C' joint at P/2 ge of mainline pavement when P is greater than 10' wide. te longitudinal joint at transverse joint less than 10' in length.
of HMA is required to face of guardrail post. Hand compaction will under guardrail. Removal and reinstallation of guardrail will be no additional payment.
oulation 112-9 for shoulder quantities.
ade treatment, refer to other details in the plan.
on only: When guardrail posts are installed prior to ion of PCC paved shoulder, fasten form board to the uardrail posts for the length shown. Refer to note 4 posts.
paved shoulder to existing paved shoulder or 20 feet beyond r of the first post.
may be notched for final 2 posts or post sleeves may be brough pavement. Do not drive posts through pavement.
t for PCC shoulder. or HMA shoulder.
Section C-C Roll down at granular shoulder or earth.
PAVED SHOULDER AT GUARDRAIL
J38-9[] I SHEET NUMBER B.3

SURVEY SYMBOLS	UTILITY LEGEND	PLAN VIEW COLOR LEGEND OF PLAN AND PROFILE SHEETS
Guard Rail Steel Centerline BL of Road (ML or SR) Wire Fence	Sub-Surface Utility Mapping Quality Level is in accordance with CI/ASCE 38-02 Standard Guidelines for the Collection and Depiction of Existing Subsurface Utility Data.	LINEWORK Design Color No. Green (2) Existing Topographic Features and Labels Blue (1) Proposed Alignment, Stationing, Tic Marks, and Alignment Annotation Magenta (5) Existing Utilities
 Centerline Draw or Stream (Down) Centerline Draw or Stream (Up) Stream Bank Edge of Water Sign Centerline BL of Entrance Tree Line Unpaved Shoulder W Water Line Tree Deciduous 	 F0 — Centurylink - Quality D F02 — Iowa Communications Network - Quality D W — Wapello Rural Water Association - Quality D Southern Iowa Electric Cooperative ITC 	SHADING Design Color No. Yellow (4) Highlight for Critical Notes or Features Red (3) ZZZ Delineates Restricted Areas Lavender (9) Temporary Pavement Shading Gray, Light (48) Proposed Pavement Shading Gray, Med (80) Proposed Granular Shading Gray, Dark (112) Proposed Grade and Pave Shading "In conjunction with a paving project" Brown, Light (236) Grading Shading Tan (8) Proposed Sidewalk Shading Blue, Light (230) Proposed Sidewalk Landing Shading
		Proposed Sidewark Ramp Shading PROFILE VIEW COLOR LEGEND OF PLAN AND PROFILE SHEETS LINEWORK Design Color No. Green (2) Existing Ground Line Profile Blue (1) Proposed Profile and Annotation Magenta (5) Existing Utilities Blue, Light (230) Proposed Ditch Grades, Left Black (0) Proposed Ditch Grades, Median
	SUM	Rust (14) Proposed Ditch Grades, Right Reference Point Survey Line RIGHT-OF-WAY LEGEND Station Proposed Right-of-Way A Ground Line Intercept Existing Right of Way Saw Cut Easement and Existing Right-of-Way Guardrail Easement (Temporary)
		Image: Clearing & Removal Clearing & Grubbing Area
		PLAN AND PROFILE LEGEND AND SYMBOL INFORMATION SHEET
		(COVERS SHEET SERIES D, E, F, & K)
FILE NO 0323 ENGLISH DESIGN TEAM Flattery > Bell > Carlson		





9:04:07 AM 7/21/2020 pcarls2 pw:\\ntPwInt1.dot.int.lan:PWMain\Documents\Projects\9006301015\Design\CADD_Files\Sheet_Files\90063161_D02.dgn **Survey Information**

Wapello County BRF-063-2(161)--38-90 Village Creek 3.1 mi S of US 34 **Reconstruction - Bridge Widening** 15-90-063-010 Sap-230.5

Party Personnel Mike Rummelhart- Party Chief

Date(s) of Survey Begin Date End Date

05/14/2020 06/22/2020

General Information

Measurement units for this survey are US survey feet. This survey is for proposed Reconstruction - Bridge Widening of the U.S. Hwy 63 bridge over Village Creek 3.9 miles South of US Hwy 34, Project datum and control information is provided by Design Survey Office. Hard surfaces were resurveyed in June 2020. The stream and banks were resurveyed June 2020. Other supplemental ground locations were extracted from an aerial survey tin to create a survey terrain covering the area requested by Kelly Bell May 11,2020.

Vertical Control

Vertical Control on this project was established on 3 points by transferring elevation from BM # 76 project # NHS 28(26)2c26. Vertical datum for this survey is relative to NAVD88. This survey observed 1 As-Built plan bench marks and a AB plan bridge pier elevation to compare to local ground control:

> BM # 76 AB Plans Project # NHS 28(26)2c26 Elevation 666.44 = BM # 500 Survey Elev. = 666.44

Horizontal Control

The project coordinate system for this survey is Iowa RCS Zone 12 (U.S. Survey Feet). Control point G046 coordinates converted from a previous project to Zone 12. Other control points were observed and established relative to G046.

Alignment Information

e horizontal alignment for this survey is created from Project alignment (surcl). Survey stationing was equated to the ST Sta. 749+72.97 and run ahead without equation throughout the survey.

> Survey stationing relates to Project stationing as follows: ST Sta. 749+72.97 Project Alignment (surcl) = ST Sta. 202+54.97 AB Plans Project # FN-FGN-42 = ST Sta. 749+72.97 this survey

PI Sta. 768+29.65 Project Alignment (surcl) = PI Sta. 221+27.72 AB Plans Project # FN-FGN-42 =PI Sta. 768+29.68 this survey



l)38-90	SHEET NUMBER	G.1	

CONTROL POINT VICINITY MAP

This map is a guide to the vicinity of the primary project control points Primary control is for use with RTK base stations and for RTN validation. Future surveys will use primary project control to establish temporary control as needed for construction or other surveying applications.



nt)38-90	SHEET NUMBER	G.2	

HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL PROJECT CONTROL COORDINATE LISTING

HORIZ. DATUM: NAD83(2011) EPOCH 2010.00

VERT. DATUM: NAVD88

Ia. Regional Coordinate System Zone 12

Name	Northing	Easting	Elevation	Feature	Description					
G146	6214782.4	22871348.68	752.17	СР	Mark is 243 ft. northeast of entrance & US Hwy 63 @ 4486 US Hwy 63, 27 ft. southeast of US Hwy 63, 12 ft. northwest of a Station Si	gn 185. A Feno	Monument stamped	d IOWA DEPT (OF TRANS S	ŝŪ
G046	6216843.67	22871691.77	669.57	СР	Mark is 76 ft. south of southeast corner of bridge floor over Village Creek, 73 ft. south of south end of east guardrail, south of brid	lge,21 ft. east c	of centerline US Hwy	, 63, 1 ft. east c	of guardrail	í. /
G047	6219800.93	22871557.34	759.54	СР	Mark is 117 ft. northwest of Station Sign 235, 91 ft. southeast of power pole, 71 ft. west of Station Sign 275, 62 ft. east of conc mor	ument , 24 ft. v	west of centerline U	S Hwy 63. A re	bar .6 ft. be	elo
										-

FILE NO. 0323	ENGLISH	DESIGN TEAM Flattery \ Bell \ Carlson	WAPELLO COUNTY	PROJECT NUMBER	BRF-063-2(16

7:37:47 AM 7/13/2020 pcarls2 pw:\\ntPwIntl.dot.int.lan:PWMain\Documents\Projects\9006301015\Design\CADD_Files\Sheet_Files\90063161_G01.dgn



1)38-90	SHEET NUMBER	G.3	

								ALI	GNMENT COORDINAT	S							101-16 10-20-09
				Point on Tanger	nt		Begin Spiral		Begin Curve	Simple Cu	urve PI or Master	· PI of SCS		End Curve			End Spiral
	Name	Location	Station	Coord	dinates	Station	Coordinates	Station	Coordinates	Station	Coord	inates	Station	Coord	linates	Station	Coordinates
<u>100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100</u>	1	MLOCO	740, 72.07.04	Y (Northing)	X (Easting)		Y (Northing) X (Easting)		Y (Northing) X (Easting)		Y (Northing)	X (Easting)		Y (Northing)	X (Easting)		Y (Northing) X (Easting)
2020-01-21 2020-01-21	2	ML063	749+72.97 R1	6218353 1/	22871676.41												
2020-01-21			700T29.00 KT	0210000.14	2207 1042.00												
2020-01-21 2020-01-21																	
D2-D3-01-21 2020-01-21																	
$D_{2}^{2}-D_{2}^{3}-O_{1}^{2}-21$																	

7:37:49 AM 7/13/2020 pcarls2 pw:\\ntPwInt1.dot.int.lan:PWMain\Documents\Projects\9006301015\Design\CADD_Files\Sheet_Files\90063161_G01.dgn

TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN

108-23A 08-01-08

108-26A 08-01-08

Temporary signals will be utilized to maintain one lane of traffic at a time on the bridge during construction. Advance signing shall be required for detouring of wide loads

STAGING NOTES

Stage 1 During the first stage, an 18 ft. travel lane will be provided

same area.

Stage 2 During the second stage, an 11 ft. 3 in. lane will be provided

111-01 04-17-12 COORDINATED OPERATIONS Other work in progress during the same period of time will include the construction of the projects listed. Coordinate operations with those of other contractors working within the

Project	Type of Work
NHSX-36-2(137)3H-90 Little Soap Creek project	Bridge (Coordinate work so wide loads are not detoured for two consecutive years.)

)38-90 SHEET NUMBER J.1	



pcarls2



9:14:44 AM 7/16/2020 pcarls2 pw:\\ntPwInt1.dot.int.lan:PWMain\Documents\Projects\9006301015\Design\CADD_Files\Sheet_Files\90063161_W01.dgr



9:14:45 AM 7/16/2020 pcarls2 pw:\\ntPwInt1.dot.int.lan:PWMain\Documents\Projects\9006301015\Design\CADD_Files\Sheet_Files\90063161_W01.dgr



9:14:46 AM 7/16/2020 pcarls2 pw:\\ntPwIntl.dot.int.lan:PWMain\Documents\Projects\9006301015\Design\CADD_Files\Sheet_Files\90063161_W01.dgr