PROJECT CONCEPT STATEMENT

Bridge on Iowa Highway 9 Over the North Branch of the Turkey River

> Howard County Project # BRFN-009-7(38)--39-45 PIN: 18-45-009-010 Maint. No. 4534.7S009 FHWA No. 28760

Prepared for: Iowa Department of Transportation District 2 Nick Humpal, P.E.

Prepared by: Snyder & Associates, Inc. / Shuck-Britson, Inc.

December 3, 2019

I. STUDY AREA

A. Project Description

This project involves replacement of the Iowa Highway 9 bridge over the North Branch of the Turkey River (Maintenance No. 4534.7S009), approximately 4.3 miles east of U.S. Highway 63, in Howard County.

B. Present Facility--Need for Project

The existing bridge is a 75' x 28' continuous concrete slab bridge with vertical wall abutments built in 1959 to replace a 75' x 20' pony truss bridge constructed in 1919. Neither the 1919 bridge nor the 1959 bridge was built with a skew. Past repairs done under contract have consisted of a low-slump concrete overlay (1987) and retrofit of the barrier rails (1987 & 2005).

The sixty year old bridge was last inspected in April, 2019 and has deck, superstructure and substructure condition ratings of 5, 5 and 5, respectively, on a scale of 0 to 9. A rating of '4' or less on any of the condition ratings would make the bridge "Structural Deficient". Maintenance and repairs have been made over the years to extend the life of the bridge. Repairs done to typical bridges with this current level of condition ratings are often an exercise in diminishing returns. It is likely that at least one of the condition ratings will drop to a '4' in the near future which would make the bridge both "Structurally Deficient" and eligible for federal funds through the Highway Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program.

Howard County Proj. # BRFN-009-7(38)--39-45 PIN: 18-45-009-010 Page 2

The bridge also has a Deck Geometry appraisal rating of '4' on a scale of 0 to 9 determined by the roadway width on the bridge available for the Average Daily Traffic. Under old bridge deficiency procedures, a rating of '3' would have made the bridge "Functionally Obsolete".

For these reasons, the bridge is not a rehabilitation or widening candidate but should be held as a replacement candidate for a future letting. The bridge's expected replacement type and total project cost should be determined with this Project Concept phase.



East and west of the bridge, the roadway is a 24 foot wide paved rural section with 4 foot wide paved shoulders and 6 foot wide granular shoulders. Milled shoulder rumble strips are present in this area. Roadway foreslopes are 3:1, and the roadway was built without clear zone considerations.

Iowa 9 intersects with V46 approximately 1,350 feet west of the bridge location. This intersection has left turn lanes, and widening / tapers for the left turn lane ends just west of the bridge site. An entrance is present on the right side of the roadway approximately 230 feet east of the bridge.

C. Hydrology

StreamStats discharges are 5,520 cfs (50-year) and 6,520 (100-year) for the 20.6 square mile drainage area. USGS Gage 05411530 was put into service in 1966 and its last peak measurement was in 1993.

Howard County Proj. # BRFN-009-7(38)--39-45 PIN: 18-45-009-010

Page 3

The bridge is located in FEMA Zone A. A floodplain permit will not be required for bridge construction.

Analysis shows that over 25% of the total design flow will be present in the east overbank. Wing dikes will be required on the upstream side of the bridge.

D. Traffic Estimates

Year 2017 annual average daily traffic (AADT) volumes were 2,790 vehicles per day (VPD), with trucks comprising approximately 18% of total traffic. Historic AADT volumes between 1993 and 2017 have varied between 2,530 VPD and 3,420 VPD.

Iowa DOT Office of Systems Planning forecasts an AADT volume of 3,480 VPD in Year 2023 and 3,960 VPD in Year 2043, with 14% truck percentage for both years. Year 2043 design hour forecast volumes are 400 vehicles per hour (60% eastbound) for the AM peak hour, and 400 vehicles per hour (65% westbound) for PM peak hour.

E. Crash History

No crashes were reported on Iowa 9 at the bridge location in the past 10 years. Two single vehicle crashes were reported within 500' - 1,000 feet east and west of the bridge, each with one minor injury and one major injury. The bridge is in a sag vertical curve and has adequate sight distance available.

F. Sufficiency Ratings

The official federal bridge sufficiency rating is 53.9 and the unofficial federal bridge sufficiency rating is 54.3. A drop in any of the aforementioned bridge condition ratings is expected to drop the sufficiency rating below 50. In the past, there was an instruction to those determining appropriate rehabilitation or replacement strategies for bridges eligible for federal funding that both rehabilitation and replacement options should be evaluated when the sufficiency rating was between 50 and 80. With a sufficiency rating below 50 and the '5'' condition ratings of the deck, superstructure and substructure, replacement is the clear choice.

G. Accelerated Bridge Construction Score

The Accelerated Bridge Construction (ABC) normalized score using state roads is 52, which would qualify the project for further evaluation of ABC techniques. The largest contributing factor to the raw score is the out of distance travel (OODT) of 29 miles, which contributes 50 to the total. The daily road user costs (DRUC) raw score is elevated to a score of 20 because the OODT is included in the calculation. The

Howard County Proj. # BRFN-009-7(38)--39-45 PIN: 18-45-009-010

Page 4

remainder of the score is due to an average annual daily traffic (AADT) raw score of 10 and an economy of scale (EOS) raw score of 5.

The ABC normalized score using county roads is 33, which indicates that further evaluation of ABC techniques for this project is not necessary. The individual raw scores for AADT, OODT, DRUC, and EOS were 10, 20, 20, and 5, respectively.

H. Access Control

Access rights will not be acquired on this project.

II. PROJECT CONCEPT

A. <u>Proposed Improvements</u>

Because of the roadway and bridge overtopping found during the bridge hydraulics analyses and the challenge in identifying cost-effective solutions mitigating the overtopping, two options were explored for replacement of the existing bridge.

1. <u>Alternative Number 1: 3-Span Continuous Concrete Slab Bridge on Existing</u> <u>Vertical Alignment</u>

Replace the existing 75' x 28' continuous concrete slab bridge with a 100' x 44' continuous concrete slab bridge at the same roadway profile as the existing. Traffic will be detoured off-project to allow the removal of the existing bridge and the construction of the replacement bridge in one stage.

The proposed bridge will not be skewed and will be centered on the channel, similar to the existing bridge. 3'-0 wide berms and 2.5:1 (H:V) slopes to the existing ground line in front of each abutment are proposed. Flow velocities through the bridge opening are relatively slow but Class E Revetment is proposed as protection for the abutment slopes. Each abutment will be founded on steel H-piles. Diaphragm piers with monolithic caps and spread footings keyed 18" into hard shale are proposed as well.

The maximum Q100 backwater is 0.20 feet. The roadway will be overtopped and the bridge structurally designed for loads associated with inundation.

Estimated Construction Cost

<u>Bridge Item</u> New Bridge – CCS Bridge Estimated Cost \$433,800

Howard Co	ounty	
Proj. # BRI	FN-009-7(38)39-45	
PIN: 18-45	5-009-010	
Page 5		
-	Remove Existing Bridge	\$ 26,000
	Revetment, Class E	\$ 10,000
	Upstream Wing Dikes	\$ 20,000
	Staging @ 0%	\$ 0
	Aesthetics @ 0%	\$ 0
	Mobilization @ 10%	\$ 47,000
	Contingency @ 20%	<u>\$103,400</u>
	Bridge Total	\$640,200
		Estimated Cost
	<u>Roadway Item</u>	Estimated Cost
	Removal of Pavement	\$ 6,700
	PCC Bridge Approach Pavement	\$ 94,960
	9" HMA Shoulder	\$ 35,364
	Guard Rail Items	\$ 21,074
	Bridge End Drains	\$ 16,000
	Traffic Control (5%)	\$ 8,705
	Mobilization (5%)	\$ 8,705
	Contingency (30%)	<u>\$ 57,452</u>
	Roadway Total	\$248,960

Project Total: \$889,160

Costs above assume that Iowa 9 will be closed to traffic during construction. As an alternative, it is possible to stage construct the new bridge while maintaining one lane of traffic on the existing bridge. Temporary barrier rail can be placed such that 14.5 feet of deck is available between the TBR and the bridge rail. This will allow enough of the new bridge to be constructed that 14.5 feet of deck will be available in the second phase of construction.

Staged construction will also increase the overall duration of construction. It's estimated that the additional time will add around 2 weeks to the project schedule. Although it is not anticipated that the bridge construction will take the entire construction season, adding time to the contract duration will likely increase mobilization costs and may reduce the flexibility the contractor has in scheduling the improvements. This would have the effect of increasing the overall project cost. This increase is reflected in the estimate below, and amounts to 10% of the bridge cost.

Additional costs for staged construction are as follows:

Howard County Proj. # BRFN-009-7(38)--39-45 PIN: 18-45-009-010 Page 6 Item Pavement Markings Removed Temporary Barrier Rail, Concrete Temporary Traffic Signals Temporary Crash Cushions Additional Structural Cost Traffic Control (5%)

Mobilization (5%)

\$ 5.000 \$43,380 \$ 3,464 \$ 3,464 \$20,784 \$96,994

Estimated Cost

\$ 9,000

277 \$11,625

\$

2. Alternative Number 2: 3-Span Continuous Concrete Slab Bridge with a 5.3' Profile Grade Raise at the Bridge

Replace the existing 75' x 28' continuous concrete slab bridge with a 100' x 44' continuous concrete slab bridge with a profile grade elevation 5.3 feet higher than existing. Traffic will be detoured off-site to allow the removal of the existing bridge and the construction of the replacement bridge in one stage.

The proposed bridge will not be skewed and will be centered on the channel just as the existing bridge is. 3'-0 wide berms and 2.5:1 (H:V) abutment slopes are proposed. Flow velocities through the bridge opening are relatively slow but Class E Revetment is proposed as protection for the abutment slopes. Each abutment will be founded on steel H-piles. Diaphragm piers with monolithic caps and spread footings keyed 18" into hard shale are proposed as well.

The maximum Q100 backwater is 1.18 feet. The roadway approaches will be overtopped but the bridge will not.

Costs for staged construction were not evaluated on this alternate.

Estimated Construction Cost

Bridge Item	Estimated Cost
New Bridge – CCS Bridge	\$458,000
Remove Existing Bridge	\$ 26,000
Revetment, Class E	\$ 10,000
Upstream Wing Dikes	\$ 20,000
Staging @ 0%	\$ O
Aesthetics @ 0%	\$ O
Mobilization @ 10%	\$ 49,400

Contingency (30%)Total

Howard County	
Proj. # BRFN-009-7(38)39-45	
PIN: 18-45-009-010	
Page 7	
Contingency @ 20%	<u>\$108,700</u>
Bridge Total	\$672,100
Roadway Item	Estimated Cost
Removal of Pavement	\$41,544
10" PCC Pavement	\$152,928
PCC Bridge Approach Pavement	\$94,960
9" HMA Shoulder	\$35,364
6" HMA Shoulder	\$22,500
Granular Shoulders	\$7,443
Guard Rail Items	\$21,074
Embankment-in-place	\$216,000
Bridge End Drains	\$16,000
Traffic Control (5%)	\$30,391
Mobilization (5%)	\$30,391
Contingency (30%)	\$200,577
Roadway Total	\$869,172

Project Total: \$1,541,272

B. <u>Recommendations</u>

Alternative Number 1 is our recommended bridge solution because of its cost effectiveness and how it compares to the existing structure. Alternative Number 2 is not recommended because even with a significant grade raise to the bridge, the backwater is significantly increased and the roadway approaches would be overtopped as well. During a flood event, the bridge will still need be closed and traffic will be re-rerouted onto county roads.

The roadway will be reconstructed with this project through the end of the proposed bridge approach section. Shoulder reconstruction will need to be extended past the end of the bridge approach to accommodate new guardrail installation. The proposed roadway profile generally follows the existing profile.

We recommend closure of the roadway during construction to facilitate faster construction and due to the overall lower construction costs associated with this option.

C. Detour Analysis

Iowa 9 will be closed to traffic during construction. The proposed detour route will follow V46 (Robin Avenue) south to A46 (150th Street), then east to V58 (Willow Avenue), then north to Iowa 9 in Cresco (see detour sheet in Appendix B). Because this

Howard County Proj. # BRFN-009-7(38)--39-45 PIN: 18-45-009-010

Page 8

detour route utilizes county roads, an agreement will need to be made with Howard County for its use.

D. Special Considerations

No existing entrances will be impacted by construction if Alternate 1 is chosen. Alternate 2 impacts 3 entrances.

There are no pedestrian accommodations within the project limits.

An existing fiber optic line has been exposed in the stream channel. It is proposed that rip rap be added to the channel in the vicinity of the bridge to avoid additional future erosion.

E. <u>Construction Sequence</u>

It is anticipated that all work will be awarded to one prime contractor. The Bridges and Structures Bureau will coordinate the plan preparation with Snyder & Associates, Inc. / Shuck-Britson, Inc.

F. Program Status

This project is listed in the 2020-2024 Iowa Transportation Improvement Program with \$805,000 programmed for construction in FY 2023. The project is currently scheduled for a November 15, 2022 letting.



COUNTY DESIGN 0N. 0

> 3:55:09 PM csallade pw://projectwise.dot.int.lan:PWMain/Documents/Projects/4500901018/BRPrelim/Snyder/CADD/STR_ 45009038_Shuck-Britson_Z02.dgn 450123S000 11x17_pdf.pltcfg

LANDA PLANS	ARD S
ISSUED	REVISED
07-14 07-14	03-16
07-14	04-16
07-14	09-16
07-14	
07-14	
07-14	
07-14	03-16
07-14	
07-14	
07-14	09-14
07-14	09-16
07-14	03-16
07-14	

In	
,0,.	
ς	

REVISIONS TO THIS DESIGN PLAN AND/OR PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS SHOULD BE SUBMITTED BY _____

TOTAL SHEETS

18

PROJECT NUMBER

BRFN-009-7(38)--39-45

R.O.W. PROJECT NUMBER

NHSN-009-7(39)--2R-45

PROJECT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

18-45-009-010

INDEX OF SHEETS

TITLE SHEET

TABULATIONS

NO.

B.I-B.2

C.I

D.I-D.2

G.I-G.3

J.I-J.2

V.I-V.2

W-1-W-5

DESCRIPTION

IOWA 9 PLAN AND PROFILE

SURVEY INFORMATION

TRAFFIC CONTROL

DESIGN NO. 0123

CROSS SECTION

TYPICAL SECTION AND DETAILS

TED							
	INDEX OF SEALS						
	SHEET NO.	NAME	TYPE				
<u>`</u>	1	CHRISTOPHER J.CRISWELL	STRUCTURAL DESIGN				
P.D.	B.I	CINDY A. SPENCER	ROADWAY DESIGN				
	V.I	STEVEN A.KLOCKE	HYDRAULIC DESIGN				
Р.Н.							
	J44 BRIDGE STANDARDS	NORMAN L. MCDONALD	STRUCTURAL DESIGN				

SI	RUCTURAL DESIGN		
Christopher	I hereby certify that this engineering document was prepared by me or under my direct personal supervision and that I am a duly licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the State of Iowa. D.02 SUBMITTAL		
P14447	Signature Date Christopher J. Criswell		
IOWA	Printed or Typed Name My license renewal date is December 31, 2021		
or sheets covered by this seal: <u>SHEETS ? THRU ? OF ?</u>			

NUMBER	BRFN-009-7(38)39-45	SHEET	NUMBER	
--------	---------------------	-------	--------	--



FILE NO.		ENGLISH	DESIGN TEAM SNYDER & ASSOCIATES, INC.	
5:37:45 PM	7/2/2020	b johnso	pw:\\projectwise.dot.int.lan:PWMain\Documents\Projects\4500901018\Design\45009038_B01.sht	

BRFN-009-7(38

Normal section shown may be modified appropriately in areas of superelevated curves or other locations specifically designated by the Engineer.

See Plan & Profile sheets and cross sections for additional details of ditches and backslopes.

Combination Shoulder

		10	2_C_)-15-13
STATION T	P Feet	G Feet	
914+90 917+34		4	6

1))	3	9	-4	5
		Ś			~



5:37:46 PM 7/2/2020 pw:\\projectwise.dot.int.lan:PWMain\Documents\Projects\4500901018\Design\45009038_B01.sht b johnson

Location Location Approach Pavement Single- Reinf. Double- Reinf. Standard Road Plans BR Series Subdrain Non-Reinf. Single- Pavement Double- Reinf. Bridge Station End Tickness Tickness Single- Pavement Double- Reinf. Pavement Area Area Area Area Approach BR-205 Subdrain 4"	Remarks 					
Bridge Station End Skew Ahead T Pay Length Non-Reinf. Payement Area Single-Reinf. Payement Area BR Series Perforated Subdrain 4" Subdrain Outlet Beringe Station Class 'A' Modified Subase Polymer Grid Special Backfill 0egrees 12.0 70.0 80.0 53.3 100.0 BR-205 Inclusion Inclusion Fixed or Single Non-Reinf. Payement Area Approach Approach Abutting Payement Subdrain 4" Subdrain 0utlet Backfill Modified Special Backfill	Remarks					
Plane LEFI R1GHI Incres FI SY S	112-9 10-15-13					
916+11.70 E 12.0 70.0 80.0 53.3 100.0 BR-205 E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	112-9 10-15-13					
	112-9 10-15-13					
	112-9 10-15-13					
12-9 10-15-13 2) Lane(s) to which the shoulder is adjacent. 2) Bid Item 3) Applies only for Paved Shoulders constructed on project with existing granular shoulders. 4) Does not include shrink.						
Location Quantities						
Road Image: Construction Side P G L Class 13 Identification Station to Station Side Width Width Length Class 13	r Shoulder Construction Alternates Remarks					
FT FT FT CY CY TON/STA TON/STA TON TON/STA TON TON/STA TON TON/STA TON TON SY CY TON <	TON/STA STA CY (4) CY (4)					
Iowa 9 EB 914+78.14 R 13.0 70.8 102.3 0<	0.7 0.3 0.4 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.4 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.4 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4					
10Wa 9 WB 91/+45.2/ 918+16.06 L 13.0 70.8 102.3 102.3	0.7					
108-8A 10-16-18 STEEL BEAM GUARDRAIL AT CONCRETE BARRIER OR BRIDGE RAIL END SECTION Possible Standards: BA-200, BA-201, BA-202, BA-205, BA-206, BA-211, BA-221, BA-225, BA-250, BA-260, LS-635, LS-630, LS-635, SI-172, SI-173 and SI-211.						
Location Layout Lengths Delineators and Object Markers (2) Bid Items						
BA-250, BA-260, LS-630, or LS-635 BA-250, BA-260, LS-630, or LS-635 Delineator Object Marker	BA-260 or LS-635					
No. Example Station Offset VT1 VF VT2 ET	al Transition Terminal Remarks					
Image: Section Section Tangent Flared Tangent Image: Section Image: Section <td< td=""><td>ngent Flared Section Tangent -625 LS-626 BA-221 BA-225</td></td<>	ngent Flared Section Tangent -625 LS-626 BA-221 BA-225					
Δ ° ° ° ε FT LF LF LF LF STATION TYPE TYPE EACH EACH EACH EACH LF LF EACH EACH EACH 1 WB 0 53.125 25.00 0.00 47.7 Image: Comparison of the section of the sect	ACH EACH EACH EACH					
2 EB 0 40.625 0.00 47.7 3 MB 0 40.625 0.00 47.7						
A B C <thc< th=""> <thc< th=""> <thc< th=""></thc<></thc<></thc<>						

fied ase	Granular	Shoulder	Earth Shou A	ulder Cons lternates	truction	Remarks
	\sim	L	(2)			
γ (2)	TON (2)	TON/STA	STA	CY (4)	CY (4)	
			0.7			
			0.3			
			0.4			
			0.4			
			0.3			
			0.2			
			0.2			
			0.3			
			0.4			
			0.4			
			0.3			
			0.7			

ems					
250 or LS-6	530		BA-260 o	r LS-635	
End Te	rminal		Barrier Transition	End Terminal	Remarks
Flared	Tangent	Flared	Section	Tangent	
BA-206	LS-625	LS-626	BA-221	BA-225	
EACH	EACH	EACH	EACH	EACH	

)39-45	SHEET NUMBER	C.1	

SURVEY SYMBOLS	UTILITY LEGEND	PLAN VIEW COLOR LEGEND	OF PLAN AND PROFILE SHEETS
		LINEWORK Design Color No. Green (2) Existing Topographic Fe Blue (1) Proposed Alignment, Sta Magenta (5) Existing Utilities SHADING Design Color No. Yellow (4) Highlight for Critical No Red (3) ZZZ Delineates Restricted Ar Lavender (9) Gray, Light (48) Proposed Pavement Shad Gray, Med (80) Proposed Granular Shadi Gray, Dark (112) Proposed Grade and Pave Brown, Light (236) Grading Shading Tan (8) Proposed Sidewalk Shadi	atures and Labels tioning, Tic Marks, and Alignment Annotation otes or Features reas ding ing ng e Shading "In conjunction with a paving projec
		Blue (11) Proposed Sidewalk Eahl PROFILE VIEW COLOR LEGENI LINEWORK Design Color No. Green (2) Existing Ground Line Pr Blue (1) Proposed Profile and An Magenta (5) Existing Utilities Blue, Light (230) Proposed Ditch Grades, I Black (0) Proposed Ditch Grades, I Rust (14) Proposed Ditch Grades, I	Shading D OF PLAN AND PROFILE SHEETS ofile notation _eft Median Right
		Reference Point Station Section Corner Ground Line Intercept Saw Cut Guardrail HighTension Cable Guardrail Sheet Pile Pavement Removal	RIGHT-OF-WAY LEGEND A Proposed Right-of-Way A Existing Right of Way A Existing and Proposed Right-of-Way A Easement and Existing Right-of-Way C Easement (Temporary) B Easement C/A Access Control → Froperty Line
			PLAN AND PROFILE EGEND AND SYMBOL
		ן כסי	VERS SHEET SERIES D, E, F, & K
FILE NO. ENGLISH DESIGN TEAM SNYDER & ASSOCIATES, INC.	HOWARD COUNTY PF	ROJECT NUMBER BRFN-009-7(38)39-45	SHEET NUMBER D.1





Survey Information

Howard County BRFN-009-7(38)-39-45 North Branch Turkey River 4.3 mi E of US 63 Bridge - Unspecified PIN 18-45-009-010 Sap-0808.1

General Information

Measurement units for this survey are US survey feet. This survey is for proposed replacement of the Iowa Hwy. 9 bridge over the North Branch of the Turkey River. Project datum and control information is provided by Design Survey Office. This project is a Partial DTM with Photo control. This survey request was for the Iowa Hwy. 9 corridor only.

Vertical Control

Vertical datum for this survey is NAVD88 (Computed using Geoid12b). GRS80 Ellipsoidal Height was computed at project Pts. 2000-411, CRESCO, OREGON, CP1, CP2 & CP3 by conducting one concurrent 5 ½-hour static session. Additional benchmarks were placed throughout the project using a GNSS Base-Rover setup relative to Pt. CP1 and Pt. CP2. Two observations with a minimum of 4-hours between were collected and used in a weighted average.

This survey observed 1 NGS Control Monument with published NAVD88 height to compare to local ground control:

NGS 2nd. order class 0 mark designated CRESCO has a published Elev. Of 1297.75 Survey Elev. = 1297.79

This survey observed 1 local area county Control Monument with published NAVD88 height to compare to local ground control:

Howard County Control mark designated 2000-411 has a published Elev. of 1266.13 Survey Elev. = 1266.26

This survey observed 1 As-built plan bench mark to compare to local ground control:

BM 24B As-built Plans Project No. FN-9-7(6)-21-45 Culverts Elev. 1209.35 BM 502 Survey Elev. = 1209.38 The vertical difference at this mark is +0.03 to be applied to as-built plan elevations.

Bridge seat elevation from As-built Plans FN-31 Design No. 158 = 1198.71 Bridge seat average elevation this survey = 1197.72 The vertical difference is -1.01 to be applied to as-built plan elevations.

This survey established two additional local bench marks:

BM 500 Survey Elev. = 1199.62 BM 501 Survey Elev. = 1201.81

Horizontal Control

The project coordinate system for this survey is Iowa RCS Zone 2 (U.S. Survey Feet). This survey control is relative to IaRTN reference stations. IaRTN Reference Station coordinates are relative to the National Reference Station network datum: NAD83 (2011) for Epoch 2010.00. Coordinates were determined by conducting one concurrent 5 1/2-hour static session. Additional control points were placed throughout the project using a GNSS Base-Rover setup relative to Pt. CP1 and Pt. CP2. Two observations with a minimum of 4-hours between were collected and used in a weighted average.

Alignment Information

The horizontal alignment for this survey is a retrace of As-built Plans Project No. FN-9-7(6)-21-45 Grade and Pave. Survey stationing was equated to the plan PI at Sta. 928+85.20 and run back and ahead without equation throughout the survey.

Survey stationing relates to as built plan stationing as follows:

PI Sta. 955+52.00 As-built Plans Project No. FN-9-7(6)-21-45 Survey PI Sta. 955+51.58

PI Sta. 928+85.20 As-built Plans Project No. FN-9-7(6)-21-45 Survey PI Sta. 928+85.20

PI Sta. 902+19.46 As-built Plans Project No. FN-9-7(6)-21-45 Survey PI Sta. 902+19.91

FILE NO.	ENGLISH	DESIGN TEAM	SNYDER	&	ASSOCI	IATES,	INC	•		HOWARD CO	UNTY	PROJECT NUMBER	BRFN-	<u> </u>
				_			_		 				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

5:37:49 PM 7/2/2020 b.johnson pw:\\pro.jectwise.dot.int.lan:PWMain\Documents\Pro.jects\4500901018\Design\SHT_45009038_G01.dgn

8)39-45	SHEET NUMBER	G.1	

CONTROL POINT VICINITY MAP

This map is a guide to the vicinity of the primary project control points Primary control is for use with RTK base stations and for RTN validation. Future surveys will use primary project control to establish temporary control as needed for construction or other surveying applications.



VERT. DATUM: NAVD88

Ia. Regional Coordinate System Zone 2

Coordinate listing from next sheet will be used with IaRTN for monument recovery. No other reference ties are given.

8)39-45	SHEET NUMBER	G.2	

HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL PROJECT CONTROL COORDINATE LISTING HORIZ. DATUM: NAD83(2011) EPOCH 2010.00 VERT. DATUM: NAVD88 Ia. Regional Coordinate System Zone 2

Point Name Northing Elevation Feature Code-Monument Description Easting

- CP1 9875036.34 12641177.06 1224.47 BM DRILL HOLE IN FLANGE ROW RAIL...140 FT NORTH AND 64 FT WEST OF INTSEC HWY 9 AND ROBIN AVE
- CP2 9874840.76 12642934.97 1198.92 BM SET FENO MON 0.32 MI EAST OF INTSEC HWY 9 AND ROBIN AVE...76 FT SOUTH OF CTR HWY 9 AND 50 FT EAST OF CTR PARK ENT.
- CP3 9874843.14 12646491.85 1205.91 BM FD CONC MON WITH #4 RBR CTR...108 FT SOUTH AND 80 FT WEST OF INTSEC HWY 9 AND SAINT AVE

FILE NO.		ENGLISH	DESIGN TEAM SNYDER & ASSOCIATES, INC.	HOWARD COUNTY	PROJECT NUMBER	BRFN-009-7(38
5.38.00 PM	7/2/2020	h iohas	on	8\Design\$HT_45009038_603_dag		

3)39-45	SHEET NUMBER	G.3	

108-23A 08-01-08

TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN

1. Iowa 9 will be closed to traffic during construction. Traffic will be detoured as shown on Sheet J.2.

2. Local access shall be maintained at all times.

FILE NO.	ENGLISH	DESIGN TEAM Snyder & Associates, Inc.	Howard COUNTY	PROJECT NUMBER	BRFN-009-7(38)
0/10/2010 A.40.0C DM					

)39-45	SHEET NUMBER	J.1	





HYDRAULIC DATA

DRAINAGE AREA = 20.6 SQ.MI. STREAM SLOPE = 11.4 FT./MI.

DESIGN DISCHARGE, Q_{50} = 5,520 CFS STAGE = 1200.08 FT. REGULATORY LOW BEAM = 1197.48 FT. BACKWATER = 0.31 FT. AVG. BRIDGE VELOCITY = 3.16

DISCHARGE, Q₁₀₀ = 6,520 CFS STAGE = 1200.58 FT. OPERATIONAL LOW BEAM = 1197.40 FT. BACKWATER = 0.20 FT. AVG. BRIDGE VELOCITY = 3.92 FPS

DISCHARGE, Q_{200} = 8,440 CFS STAGE = 1201.08 FT. DESIGN SCOUR ELEVATION = 1177.1

Q OVERTOP = 3,510 CFS AVG. BRIDGE VELOCITY = 5.04 FPS CHECK SCOUR ELEVATION = 1177.99 ROADWAY OVERTOP ELEVATION = 1199.1 STA. 914+65.70

Q₅₀₀ = 9,240 CFS

LOCATION

HWY. 9 OVER NORTH BRANCH TURKEY CREEK 4.3 MILES EAST OF U.S. 63 T-99N R-12W SECTION 24 HOWARD CENTER TOWNSHIP HOWARD COUNTY LATITUDE 43.370937° LONGITUDE -92.213613°

HYDRAULIC DESIGN							
The second secon	Steven A. ORIGINAL Steven A. ORIGINAL Steven A. ORIGINAL STEVENSION STATEMENT STATEMENTE STATEMENT STATEMENTE STATEMENTE STATEMENTE STAT	I hereby certify that this er by me or under my direct p am a duly licensed Professio of the State of Iowa. D.O2 SUBMIT Signature Steven A. Printed or Typed Name My license renewal date	ngineering document was prepared bersonal supervision and that I onal Engineer under the laws TAL . Klocke is December 31, <u>2021</u>				
Pages -	or sheets covered	by this seal: V.I & V.2 (CHANNEL G	RADING AND REVETMENT)				
		PRELIMINARY					
E	E DESIGN FOR O° SKEW IOO' X 44' CONTINUOUS CONCRETE SLAB BRIDGE 30'-6 END SPANS SITUATION PLAN STATION 916+11.70 HOWARD COUNTY IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - HIGHWAY DIVISION DESIGN SWEET NO. 1. 05. 2 EVEN NO						
BRFN-00)9-7(38)39-45		SHEET NUMBER V.I				



csallade pw://projectwise.dot.int.lan:PWMain/Documents/Projects/4500901018/BRPrelim/Snyder/CADD/STR_ 45009038_Z02.dgn TSL_1191213_B site 11x17_pdf.pltcfg

CONTROL POINT 2 NORTHING 9874840.76 EASTING 12642934.97 ELEVATION 1198.92 DESCRIPTION: BM SET FENO MON 0.32 MI EAST OF INTESC HWY 9 AND ROBIN AVE.. 76 FT SOUTH OF CTR HWY 9 AND 50 FT EAST OF CTR PARK ENT.

TED BERM	ARMOR	ING QL	JANTIT	IES
ΓΙΟΝ	REVETMENT CL.?? (TON)	EROSION STONE (TON)	ENGINEERING FABRIC (SY)	EXCAVATION (CY)
F ABUTMENT	ХХ	ХХ	XX	ХХ
ABUTMENT	XX	XX	XX	XX
ABUTMENT	XX	XX	XX	XX
ABUTMENT	XX	XX	XX	XX
TOTALS	XX	XX	XX	XX

EXCAVATION QUANTITY CALCULATED FROM GRADING SURFACE. REVETMENT ESTIMATED AT X.X TON/CY.

ERM SLOPE LOCATION TABLE						
WEST	ABUTMENT		EAST	ABUTMENT		
STATION	OFFSET	ELEV.	STATION	OFFSET	ELEV.	
XXX+XX.XX	XX.XX′ XX	XXX.XX	XXXX+XX.XX	XX.XX' XX	XXX.XX	
XXX+XX.XX	XX.XX′ XX	XXX.XX	XXXX+XX.XX	XX.XX' XX	XXX.XX	
XXX+XX.XX	XX.XX′ XX	XXX.XX	XXXX+XX.XX	XX.XX' XX	XXX.XX	
XXX+XX.XX	XX.XX′ XX	XXX.XX	XXXX+XX.XX	XX.XX' XX	XXX.XX	
XXX+XX.XX	XX.XX′ XX	XXX.XX	XXXX+XX.XX	XX.XX' XX	XXX.XX	
XXX+XX XX	XX XX' XX	XXX XX	XXXX+XX XX	XX XX' XX	XXX XX	

BERM SLOPE ELEVATIONS REFLECT THE GRADING SURFACE

REVETMENT LAYOUT:

(RI)	HWY.15	915+63.20,	29 . 58′ LT:
(R2)	HWY.15	915+99.20,	29.58′ LT:
(R3)	HWY.15	915+63.20,	29.58′ RT:
(R4)	HWY.15	915+99.20,	29.58′ RT:
(R5)	HWY.15	916+24.20,	29 . 58′ LT:
(R6)	HWY.15	916+60.20,	29.58′ LT:
(R7)	HWY.15	916+24.20,	29.58′ RT:
(R8)	HWY.15	916+60.20,	29.58′ RT:



Existing Ground Line Proposed Topsol Placement Proposed Topsol Placement Subrade Treatment Granular Shoulder Proposed PipeNRCB Proposed PipeNRCB Proposed PipeNRCB Topsol (Class 10) Slope Dressing Only Class 10 Materials Select Sand Unsuitable Type & Disposal Unsuitable Type & Dispose & Dispo		STILE FEATUR OF SUCCE AFAILON SUFFLY (NOVD)
Proposed Topsol Placement Additional Topsol Removal Subrade Treatment Granular Shoulder Pawement Existing Pipe\RCB Proposed Pipe\RCB Proposed Dike All Elements Associated with Proposed Entrances IINE STYLE LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS (SOILS) Topsol (Class 10) Slope Dressing Only Class 10 Materials Select Sand Unsuitable Type A Disposal Unsuitable Type B Disposal Unsuitable Type B Disposal Unsuitable Type B Disposal Unsuitable Type C Disposal Shale Borken and Weathered Rock Solid Rock Boulders te: All layer lines and descriptions identify layers above the line. Select Sond Yor the gupties of calculating template quantities and do not depict soil stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS **** ***** ***** ****** ***** ****		 Existing Ground Line Proposed Template
		- Proposed Topsoil Placement
Subrade Treatment Granular Shoulder Payement Existing Right-of-Way Limit		- Additional Topsoil Removal
Pavement Pavement Pavement Pavement Pavement Pavement Pavement Pavement Proposed Dike All Elements Associated with Proposed Entrances LINE STYLE LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS (SOILS) Topsoil (Class 10) Slope Dressing Only Class 10 Materials Select Loams And Clay-Loams Select Sand Unsuitable Type & Disposal Unsuitable Type & Disposal Unsuitable Type C Disposal Solid Rock Boulders te: All layer lines and descriptions identify layers above the line. te: Vertical or near vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of iertical or near vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of soil depict toil stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS Proposed Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit		- Subrade Treatment
Existing PipeNRCB Proposed PipeNRCB Proposed Dike All Elements Associated with Proposed Entrances IINE STYLE LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS (SOILS) Topsoil (Class 10) Slope Dressing Only Class 10 Materials Select Loams And Clay-Loams Select Sand Unsuitable Type & Disposal Unsuitable Type & Disposal Unsuitable Type C Disposal Waste Broken and Weathered Rock Solid Rock Boulders te: All layer lines and descriptions identify layers above the line. te: Vertical or near vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of cross sections are only for the purpose of calculating template quantities and do not depice soil stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS Existing Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit		- Granular Shoulder - Pavement
Proposed Pipe/RCB Proposed Dike All Elements Associated with Proposed Entrances IINE STYLE LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS (SOILS) Topsoli (Class 10) Slope Dressing Only Class 10 Materials Select Leams And Clay-Leams Select Leams And Clay-Leams Select Sand Unsultable Type & Disposal Unsultable Type & Disposal Unsultable Type C Disposal Unsultable Type C Disposal Solid Rock Soli		- Existing Pipe\RCB
Proposed Dike All Elements Associated with Proposed Entrances LINE STYLE LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS (SOILS) Topsoil (Class 10) Slope Dressing Only Class 10 Materials Select Loams And Clay-Loams Select Sand Unsuitable Type A Disposal Unsuitable Type B Disposal Unsuitable Type C Disposal Waste Solid Rock Solid Rock Solid Rock Solid Rock StymBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS Existing Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit		- Proposed Pipe\RCB
INTERNATION OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS (SOILS) Topsoil (Class 10) Slope Dressing Only Class 10 Materials Select Loams And Clay-Loams Select Sand Unsuitable Type & Disposal Topsoil (Class 10) Select Sand Unsuitable Type & Disposal Topsoil (Class 10) Select Sand Unsuitable Type & Disposal Topsoil (Class 10) Shale Topsoil (Class 10) Solid Rock Boolders Boolders Stet: All layer lines and descriptions identify layers above the line. tet: Vertical or mean vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of tartification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS Temporary Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit		- Proposed Dike - All Elements Associated with Proposed Entrances
Topsoil (Class 10) Slope Dressing Only Class 10 Materials Select Sand Unsuitable Type A Disposal Unsuitable Type B Disposal Unsuitable Type C Disposal Shale Solid Rock Solid Rock Boulders te: All layer lines and descriptions identify layers above the line. te: Vertical or near vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of cross sections are only for the purpose of calculating template quantities and do not depict soil stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS Stating Proposed Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit		STYLE LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS (SOILS)
Siope Dressing Only Slope Dressing Only Class 10 Materials Select Loams And Clay-Loams Select Sand Unsuitable Type A Disposal Unsuitable Type C Disposal Waste Broken and Weathered Rock Solid		
Slope Dressing Unly Class ID Materials Select Loams And Clay-Loams Select Sand Unsuitable Type A Disposal Unsuitable Type B Disposal Unsuitable Type C Disposal Shale Waste Solid Rock Broken and Weathered Rock Solid Rock Boulders te: All layer lines and descriptions identify layers above the line. te: Vertical or near vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of cross sections are only for the purpose of calculating template quantities and do not depict soil stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS Temporary Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit	10-501L	- Topso11 (Class 10)
Select Loams And Clay-Loams Select Sand Unsuitable Type A Disposal Unsuitable Type B Disposal Unsuitable Type C Disposal Waste Waste Waste Solid Rock Boulders te: All layer lines and descriptions identify layers above the line. te: Vertical or near vertical lines connecting soll layers at edges of cross sections are only for the purpose of calculating template quantities and do not depict soll stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS string Proposed Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit		- Slope Dressing Univ
Select Sand Select Sand Select Sand Unsuitable Type A Disposal Unsuitable Type B Disposal Unsuitable Type C Disposal Shale Shale Shale Solid Rock Solid Rock Solid Rock Solid Rock Solid Rock Solid Rock Solid Rock Solid Rock Solid Rock Solid Rock Stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS Temporary Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit		- Select Loams And Clav-Loams
Unsuitable Type A Disposal Image: Constraint of the second sec	sa	- Select Sand
Unsuitable Type B Disposal Unsuitable Type C Disposal Shale Shale Waste Broken and Weathered Rock Solid Rock Boulders te: All layer lines and descriptions identify layers above the line. te: Vertical or near vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of cross sections are only for the purpose of calculating template quantities and do not depict soil stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS	UKS &	— Unsuitable Type A Disposal
"" Unsuitable Type C Disposal Shale Waste Waste Broken and Weathered Rock Solid Rock Boulders te: All layer lines and descriptions identify layers above the line. te: Vertical or near vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of cross sections are only for the purpose of calculating template quantities and do not depict soil stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS string RM Proposed Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit	uks 8	— Unsuitable Type B Disposal
Shale Waste Waste Broken and Weathered Rock Solid Rock Boulders te: All layer lines and descriptions identify layers above the line. te: Vertical or near vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of cross sections are only for the purpose of calculating template quantities and do not depict soil stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS Proposed Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit	UNS C	— Unsuitable Type C Disposal
Waste Broken and Weathered Rock Solid Rock Boulders Boulders te: All layer lines and descriptions identify layers above the line. te: Vertical or near vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of cross sections are only for the purpose of calculating template quantities and do not depict soil stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS Symmetry Proposed Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit	SHALE	- Shale
Broken and Weathered Rock Solid Rock Solid Rock Boulders te: All layer lines and descriptions identify layers above the line. te: Vertical or near vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of cross sections are only for the purpose of calculating template quantities and do not depict soil stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS stating M Proposed Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit		- Waste
Solid Rock Boulders te: All layer lines and descriptions identify layers above the line. te: Vertical or near vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of cross sections are only for the purpose of calculating template quantities and do not depict soil stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS stating ROV RV Proposed Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit		- Broken and Weathered Rock
te: All layer lines and descriptions identify layers above the line. te: Vertical or near vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of cross sections are only for the purpose of calculating template quantities and do not depict soil stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS **sting RV Proposed Right-of-Way Limit Proposed Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit	- B 055	- Solid Rock
te: All layer lines and descriptions identify layers above the line. te: Vertical or near vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of cross sections are only for the purpose of calculating template quantities and do not depict soil stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS		boarder s
te: Vertical or near vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of cross sections are only for the purpose of calculating template quantities and do not depict soil stratification. SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS	te: All layer li	nes and descriptions identify layers above the line.
SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS	te: Vertical or cross secti	near vertical lines connecting soil layers at edges of
SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS Rov Existing Right-of-Way Limit Proposed Proposed Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit	and do not	depict soil stratification.
Row Existing Right-of-Way Limit prosed Row Proposed Right-of-Way Limit porary Row Temporary Right-of-Way Limit		
Existing Right-of-Way Limit Proposed ROW Proposed Right-of-Way Limit Temporary Right-of-Way Limit		SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
ROW Proposed Right-of-Way Limit moorary ROW Temporary Right-of-Way Limit	dsting ROW	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
Proposed Row Proposed Right-of-Way Limit Porary Row Temporary Right-of-Way Limit	dsting ROW E>	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
oposed RV Proposed Right-of-Way Limit I Normary ROW Temporary Right-of-Way Limit	dsting ROW E> 	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
Proposed Right-of-Way Limit	dsting RQW E> 	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
mporary ROW Temporary Right-of-Way Limit	dsting RQW E> oposed RQW	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
 nporary ROW Temporary Right-of-Way Limit 	isting Row E> ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
mporary RW I Temporary Right-of-Way Limit I	dsting RQW E> E> ngw Pr Pr	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
Temporary Right-of-Way Limit	dsting RQU E> I I I RQU Pr I I I	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
	dsting ROW E> 	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
	Isting RQW E> RQW Pr Porary RQW Te	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS isting Right-of-Way Limit oposed Right-of-Way Limit
	sting tow posed tow porary tow Te	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
	isting ROW E> ' ' ' posed ROW Pr ' ' ' Noorary ROW Te	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS sisting Right-of-Way Limit oposed Right-of-Way Limit
	dsting RPW E> ' ' ' ' ROW Pr ' ' ' ' ROW Te	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
	dsting RV I Soposed ROW Pr Pr Te Te	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
	dsting RQW E> E> RQW Pr Pr RQW Te	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
	dsting RQM E> ' ' ' ' ' RQM Pr ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
	dsting RPU E> ' ' ' roposed ROW Pr ' roporary RW Te ' ' ' ' '	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
	dsting RQW E> noposed RQW Pr RQW Te	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS
	ting W E> Josed W Pr	SYMBOL LEGEND OF CROSS SECTION SHEETS

FILE NO.		ENGLISH	DESIGN TEAM SNYDER & ASSOCIATES, INC.	HOWARD COUNTY	PROJECT NUMBER	BRFN-009-7(3
5:38:05 PM	7/2/2020	bjohnso	n pw:\\projectwise.dot.int.lan:PWMain\Documents\Projects\4500901018	\Design\\$HT_45009038_LGD.dgn		









